

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/759,698	01/12/2001	Steven Robert Mayle	MAY1023-012	2647
8698	7590 09/13/2002			
STANDLEY & GILCREST LLP			EXAMINER	
495 METRO PLACE SOUTH SUITE 210 DUBLIN, OH 43017		NGUYEN, CHI Q		
	43017		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3637	
			DATE MAILED: 09/13/2002)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/759,698	MAYLE, STEVEN ROBERT				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chi Q Nguyen	3635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12.	lanuary 2001 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application	L.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13-19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 January 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Ac	tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 2				

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Regarding claims 1-2, the phrase "may be" renders the claims indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 5-7, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hatmaker (US 3,871,145).

Hatmaker discloses a flashing for pitch pocket corner comprising a base portion 10 having openings in between, a top portion 11 connecting to the base portion 10 along opening in base portion, a break 23 in base and top portion is aligned and separating into first and second portions 13, a base flap portion denoted as "a" interposed between first and second of base portion, and extends from a bottom edge of top portion 10, (see figs. 3-5).

Application/Control Number: 09/759,698 Page 3

Art Unit: 3637

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 3, 4, 9, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hatmaker (US 3,871,145) in view of Gentry (US 4,625,469). Hatmaker discloses the flashing for pitch pocket as stated.

Hatmaker does not disclose expressly the base and top portions are welded, having a cone shaped. Gentry teaches replacement flat roof insulation cover having a flexible membrane or boot including a base portion 60 and top portion 58 and having a coneshaped (see figs. 9a, 9b). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify and combine Hatmaker' invention with Gentry's teaching for a cone-shaped boot. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide more convenience for installers when they need for covering pipes or other applications with have the similar shape requirement.

Hatmaker and Gentry disclose the structural elements for covering protrusion a roof except for the base and top portions are welded together, examiner considers it is a method of forming a device and not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself. Therefore, this limitation has not been given patentable weight.

Application/Control Number: 09/759,698

Art Unit: 3637

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bullard (US 5,946,863), Zuares (US 5,832,675), Mayle et al. (US 6,110,311), (US 6,374,549), Humber (US 5,860,256), UK 2027469, DE 3603303.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chi Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 605-1224, Monday-Thursday (7:00-5:30), Fridays off or examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached at (703) 308-2486. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding assigned are (703) 872-9326 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

9/6/02

LANNA MAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600**